

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re DIGITAL MUSIC ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

X : MDL Docket No. 1780
:
x Assigned to: Judge Loretta A. Preska

JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT AND CASE
MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), plaintiffs and defendants propose the following Joint Rule 26(f) Report and Case Management Statement and discovery plan in the above-captioned case (the “Action”). As to each issue, the parties attempted to reach agreement. Where they were unable to do so, each party’s position is set forth separately:

I. Pleadings and Parties

Plaintiffs filed the First Consolidated Amended Complaint (“FCAC”) on April 13, 2007. Pursuant to Case Management Order No. 3, entered March 12, 2007 (“CMO No. 3”): (1) on May 14, 2007, defendants provided plaintiffs with a letter summarizing the grounds for defendants’ anticipated motion to dismiss; and (2) on June 13, 2007, plaintiffs filed a Second Consolidated Amended Complaint (the “SCAC”). Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the SCAC on July 30, 2007, which is fully briefed.

Parties’ Position:

The parties will submit a Joint Pretrial Order no later than 30 days after the disposition of any motions for summary judgment or, if no such motions are made, not later than 30 days after the close of all discovery.

Plaintiffs’ Position:

Except upon a showing of good cause, and in any event no later than 180 days after the commencement of fact discovery: (1) no additional parties may be joined; and (2) no additional claims may be asserted.

Defendants’ Position:

Pursuant to the Court’s CMO No. 3, no additional amendments to the SCAC are permitted and, therefore, no additional parties may be joined and no additional claims may be asserted.

II. Discovery

A. Generally

Plaintiffs' Position:

The parties have not engaged in any formal discovery to date. Fact discovery may proceed at any time and shall be completed by December 5, 2008. Expert discovery shall be completed by January 11, 2009. Phasing of discovery will not promote a more expeditious or efficient resolution of this dispute.

Defendants' Position:

The scope, timing and format of pretrial discovery that is appropriate in this action will depend on which claims (if any) survive defendants' motion to dismiss. Accordingly, there shall be no discovery in this Action unless and until the Court denies defendants' motion to dismiss the SCAC and defendants are required to answer the SCAC.

In addition, because resolution of plaintiffs' class certification motion will impact the scope of documents relevant in this Action, discovery in this Action should be phased. Thus, as set forth in Part III below, discovery relevant to plaintiffs' motion for class certification shall begin only after defendants have served their answers to the SCAC, and merits discovery shall begin only after the Court issues a decision with respect to the appropriateness of certifying a class or classes in this Action.

Within 30 days after a decision with respect to plaintiffs' motion for class certification, the parties may serve their initial requests for documents and initial interrogatories with respect to the merits. The parties shall produce responsive documents on a rolling basis starting 45 days after these initial requests are served. An appropriate end date for fact discovery shall be set upon disposition of the class certification motion.

B. Discovery Plan

Plaintiffs' Position:

1. Initial Disclosures

In lieu of initial disclosures, plaintiffs are willing to depose a corporate designee from each of the defendants to ascertain corporate organization and the identity of persons who have information relevant to a claim or defense in this Action. In the alternative, the parties will exchange initial disclosures as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) by December 21, 2007.

Defendants' Position:

The initial disclosures contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) are not appropriate in the context of a case of this complexity.

**2. Government Investigations/*In re Napster Copyright Litigation*,
MDL No. 00-001369 (MHP) (N.D. Cal.)**

Plaintiffs' Position:

Defendants shall produce all documents and other materials that have been previously produced to the Office of the New York State Attorney General and the United States Department of Justice in connection with their investigations into the pricing and distribution of digital music, and all documents and other materials produced in the *In re Napster, Inc. Copyright Litigation*, No. MDL 00-1369 MHP (N.D. Cal.), concerning the pricing and distribution of digital music, by December 21, 2007.

Defendants' Position:

The scope, timing and format of pretrial discovery that is appropriate in this action will depend on which claims (if any) survive defendants' motion to dismiss. Accordingly, there shall be no discovery in this Action unless and until the Court denies defendants' motion to dismiss the SCAC and defendants are required to answer the SCAC. (See II.A "Defendants' Position" above.) In addition, materials produced in the *Napster* litigation, including materials produced by third

parties, are subject to protective orders and defendants may not agree to their production absent permission of those third parties and/or of the *Napster* court.

3. Expert Discovery

Plaintiffs' Position:

Plaintiffs will disclose their trial experts and submit their expert report(s) no later than 20 days after the close of fact discovery, reserving their rights to disclose additional experts for rebuttal. Defendants will then disclose their trial experts and serve their expert report(s) no later than 30 days after service of plaintiffs' expert report(s). Plaintiffs will then submit rebuttal expert reports no later than 21 days after service of defendants' expert reports. Expert depositions will be completed no later than one month after the service of plaintiffs' rebuttal report(s), and there will be only one deposition of each expert.

Defendants' Position:

It is premature to set a schedule for expert discovery at this time. The parties shall meet and confer on this issue at the start of fact discovery.

4. Fact Depositions

Parties' Position:

Each fact deposition will adhere to the seven hour limitation set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d)(2), except that plaintiffs and defendants will meet and confer regarding extending the seven hour limitation in the case of certain deponents who are in possession of sufficient information such that a seven hour limitation is not practicable. The parties will meet and confer concerning the number of depositions each side may take.

5. Requests for the Production of Documents, Interrogatories and/or Requests for Admission

Parties' Position:

The parties shall meet and confer concerning the number of requests for production of documents, interrogatories and requests for admission in this Action.

6. Protective Orders

Parties' Position:

The parties shall enter into a stipulated protective order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). The parties are currently meeting and conferring regarding the terms of such a stipulation and will present the Court with a proposed stipulated protective order within 60 days.

7. Privilege Logs

Parties' Position:

Privilege logs and supplements thereto should be provided in compliance with applicable law, no later than 60 days following the production from which privileged documents are withheld.

III. Class Certification

Parties' Position:

Plaintiffs' motion for class certification will be served and filed no later than 150 days after defendants have filed and served their answers. Defendants' opposition will be served and filed 90 days after service of plaintiffs' motion. Plaintiffs' reply will be served and filed no later than 60 days after service of defendants' opposition. If the parties support their papers with any expert opinions, the parties shall also produce expert materials contemplated by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26, subject to any limitations or expert disclosures agreed to by the parties.

The parties are currently meeting and conferring regarding the terms of a stipulation regarding discovery related to testifying experts and will present the Court with a proposed stipulated order within 60 days.

IV. Dispositive Motions

Plaintiffs' Position:

At this point in the litigation, plaintiffs do not believe any claims or issues may be determined by motion for summary judgment. Nevertheless, any motions for summary judgment made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 must be filed by March 6, 2009. Briefs in opposition to any motions for summary judgment are due April 3, 2009, and reply briefs in further support of any such motions shall be due April 24, 2009.

Defendants' Position:

It is premature to set a schedule for filing summary judgment motions at this time. The parties should meet and confer on an appropriate schedule for filing summary judgment motions at the beginning of expert discovery.

V. Trial

Plaintiffs' Position:

This matter is appropriate for a jury trial. This matter should be marked as ready for trial in an expedited fashion on or after July 24, 2009. Pursuant to the Honorable Loretta A. Preska's Individual Practices, by June 12, 2009, each party must file: (1) requests to charge and proposed *voir dire* questions; (2) all evidentiary motions, including motions *in limine*, if any; and (3) a pretrial memorandum, if any.

Defendants' Position:

It is premature to set a trial date at this time. The parties should meet and confer on an appropriate trial date at the close of discovery.

DATED: November 30, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
JOHN J. STOIA, JR.
CHRISTOPHER M. BURKE
GREGORY S. WESTON

s/CHRISTOPHER M. BURKE

CHRISTOPHER M. BURKE

655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619/231-1058
619/231-7423 (fax)

LOVELL STEWART HALEBIAN LLP
CHRISTOPHER LOVELL
IMTIAZ SIDDIQUI

s/CHRISTOPHER LOVELL

CHRISTOPHER LOVELL

500 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5800
New York, NY 10110
Telephone: 212/608-1900
212/719-4677 (fax)

Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs Dennis Bulcao, Alexander Justis Clark, Matt Putman, McKenna Creamer, George Creamer, P. Evan Stephens, Kim Hanson, James Miller, Wayne Gilbert, Elise R. Devore, Mitchell Horton, Cato Thornton, Claire Barker, Christopher Michaud, Richard Benham, Sheri Clark, Lisa Owens, Andrew Edenbaum, David Paschkett, Rachael Hall, Cynthia Seley, Paul Hampsch, Keaton Landry and Kevin Starr

DATED: November 30, 2007

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP
KENNETH R. LOGAN
HELENA ALMEIDA

s/KENNETH R. LOGAN
KENNETH R. LOGAN

425 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017-3954
Telephone: 212/455-2000
212/455-2502 (fax)

Attorneys for Defendant Warner Music Group
Corp.

MAYER BROWN LLP
RICHARD M. STEUER
MATTHEW S. CARRICO

s/RICHARD M. STEUER

RICHARD M. STEUER

1675 Broadway
New York, NY 10019
(212) 506-2500

Attorneys for Defendants Capitol Records, Inc.
d/b/a EMI Music North America, EMI Group
North America, Inc., Capitol-EMI Music, Inc.,
and Virgin Records America, Inc.

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
SCOTT A. EDELMAN
2029 Century Park East
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3026
(310) 552-8500

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
JOSEPH KATTAN, PC
GEORGIA K. WINSTON

s/JOSEPH KATTAN

JOSEPH KATTAN

1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20036-5306
(202) 955-8500

Attorneys for Defendants SONY BMG Music
Entertainment and Sony Corporation of America

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
PETER T. BARBUR
RACHEL G. SKAISTIS
DIANE M. MACINA

s/PETER T. BARBUR

PETER T. BARBUR

Worldwide Plaza
825 Eighth Avenue
New York, NY 10019
(212) 474-1000

Attorneys for Defendant Time Warner Inc.

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
GLENN D. POMERANTZ
KELLY M. KLAUS
JOSHUA P. GROBAN

s/GLENN D. POMERANTZ

GLENN D. POMERANTZ

355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
(213) 683-9100

Attorneys for Defendant UMG Recordings, Inc.

HOWREY LLP
ALAN M. WISEMAN
MARK C. SCHECHTER
THOMAS ISAACSON

s/ALAN M. WISEMAN

ALAN M. WISEMAN

1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2402
(202) 783-0800

Attorneys for Defendant Bertelsmann, Inc.

S:\CasesSD\Online Music\CMC00047498.doc

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 30, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 30, 2007.

s/ CHRISTOPHER M. BURKE
CHRISTOPHER M. BURKE

COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101-3301
Telephone: 619/231-1058
619/231-7423 (fax)

E-mail:ChrisB@csgrr.com

Mailing Information for a Case 1:06-md-01780-LAP

Electronic Mail Notice List

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

- **Helena Almeida**
halmeida@stblaw.com
- **Francis J. Balint , Jr**
fbalint@bffb.com
- **Peter T Barbur**
pbarbur@cravath.com,dmacina@cravath.com
- **Brian Barry**
bribarry1@yahoo.com
- **Christopher M. Burke**
chrisb@csgrr.com
- **Matthew Stephen Carrico**
mcarrico@mayerbrown.com
- **Daniel D'Angelo**
ddangelo@gilmanpastor.com,dpastor@gilmanpastor.com,enatale@gilmanpastor.com
- **Merrill G Davidoff**
mdavidoff@bm.net
- **Daniel Charles Girard**
dcg@girardgibbs.com,cma@girardgibbs.com
- **Jayne Arnold Goldstein**
jgoldstein@magergoldstein.com,mbastian@magergoldstein.com
- **Jessica Carolyn Grannis**
jgrannis@steyerlaw.com
- **Joshua Paul Groban**
joshua.groban@mto.com
- **Terry Gross**
terry@grossbelsky.com,mara@grossbelsky.com
- **Gary Steven Jacobson**
gsjacobson@lshllp.com

- **Edward David Johnson**
wjohnson@mayerbrownrowe.com
- **Joseph Kattan**
jkattan@gibsondunn.com,aarias@gibsondunn.com
- **Kelly M. Klaus**
kelly.klaus@mto.com
- **Esther L. Klisura**
eklisura@cpsmlaw.com
- **Chet Alan Kronenberg**
ckronenberg@stblaw.com,managingclerk@stblaw.com
- **David Howard Leventhal**
dleventhal@faruqilaw.com
- **Kenneth Richard Logan**
klogan@stblaw.com,managingclerk@stblaw.com
- **Ryan Eric Long**
rlong@lshllp.com
- **Christopher Lovell**
LSHLLP@LSHLLP.COM
- **D. Scott Macrae**
smacrae@bamlawlj.com
- **Edward W. Millstein**
tmillstein@bm.net
- **Robert Jerrod Pfister**
rpfister@stblaw.com
- **Glenn D. Pomerantz**
glenn.pomerantz@mto.com
- **Elizabeth Cheryl Pritzker**
ecp@girardgibbs.com
- **Brian James Robbins**
notice@ruflaw.com
- **Robert M. Rothman**
rrothman@csgrr.com,e_file_ny@csgrr.com
- **Christina Guerola Sarchio**
sarchiochristina@howrey.com

- **Guido Saveri**
guido@saveri.com
- **Richard Alexander Saveri**
rick@saveri.com
- **Imtiaz A. Siddiqui**
isiddiqui@lshllp.com
- **Bruce Lee Simon**
bsimon@psswplaw.com,eklisura@psswplaw.com,mmorton@psswplaw.com
- **Richard Marc Steuer**
rsteuer@mayerbrown.com,jmarsala@mayerbrown.com
- **Allan Steyer**
asteyer@steyerlaw.com
- **John J. Stoia , Jr**
johns@csgrr.com
- **Ralph M. Stone**
rstone@lawssb.com
- **Bonny E. Sweeney**
bonnys@csgrr.com
- **Douglas G. Thompson**
dthompson@finkelsteinthompson.com
- **Thomas Wilhelm**
twilhelm@lerachlaw.com
- **Georgia K. Winston**
gwinston@gibsondunn.com,aarias@gibsondunn.com
- **Alan M. Wiseman**
wisemana@howrey.com
- **Scott Justin Yundt**
syundt@mandhllp.com,eklisura@comcast.net,brucelsimon@comcast.net,gmurray@mandhllp.com

Manual Notice List

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

Monique Alonso
Gross & Belsky LLP

180 Montgomery Street, Ste 2200
San Francisco, CA 94104

Kathleen Balderrama
Mayer Brown Rowe and Maw
350 South Grand Avenue
Suite 2500
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Adam C. Belsky
Gross & Belsky LLP
180 Montgomery Street, Ste 2200
San Francisco, CA 94104

W. Joseph Bruckner
Lockridge, Grindal, Nauen & Holstein, P.L.L.P.
2200 Washington Square
100 Washington Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55401

Matthew Stephen Carrico
Mayer Brown LLP
71 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60603-4637

Gregory A. Cummings , Jr
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
Spear Tower
1 Market Plaza, 23rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104

Craig M. Essenmacher
Lovell, Stewart, Halebian L.L.P.
500 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10110

Stephen M. Garcia
The Garcia Law Firm
One World Trade Center Suite 1950
Long Beach, CA 90831

Mark C. Gardy
440 Sylvan Avenue
Suite 110
Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

Dale J. Giali
Howrey, Simon, Arnold and White
2020 Main Street
Suite 1000
Irvine, CA 92614

Bridget F. Gramme
Hulett Harper Stewart
550 West C Street
Suite 1600
San Diego, CA 92101

Daniel E. Gustafson
Gustafson Gluek

650 Northstar East
608 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Daniel E. Gustafson
Gustafson Gluek PLLC
650 Northstar East
608 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Blake M. Harper
Hulett Harper
550 West C Street
Suite 1770
San Diego, CA 92101

Wendy Jacobsen Harrison
Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balint, P.C.
2901 North Central Avenue
Suite 1000
Phoenix, AZ 85012

Jason S. Hartley
Ross, Dixon & Bell, L.L.P.
550 West "B" Street
Suite 400
San Diego, CA 92101-3538

Daniel C. Hedlund
Gustafson Gluek
650 Northstar East
608 Second Avenue, South
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Daniel C. Hedlund
Gustafson Gluek PLLC
650 Northstar East
608 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Sarina M. Hinson
The Garcia Law Firm
One World Trade Center Suite 1950
Long Beach, CA 90831

Derek G. Howard
Murray & Howard, LLP
436 14th Street, Suite 1413
Oakland, CA 94612

Gary S. Jacobson
Lovell, Stewart, Halebian L.L.P.
500 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10110

Sheri L. Kelly
Girard Gibbs LLP
601 California Street, Ste. 1400
San Francisco, CA 94108

Sylvie K. Kern
Glancy, Binkow & Goldberg
455 Market Street
Suite 1810
San Francisco, CA 94105

Mark Leland Knutson
Finkelstein and Krinsk
501 West Broadway
Suite 1250
San Diego, CA 92101

Bryan Matthew Kreft
Steyer, Lowenthal, Boodrookas, Alvarez & Smith
One California Street., Third Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

Susan G. Kupfer
Glancy, Binkow & Goldberg
455 Market Street
Suite 1810
San Francisco, CA 94105

R. Reid Lebeau
Lockridge Grindal Nauen PLLP
100 Washington Avenue South, Suite 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55401

Richard A. Lockridge
Lockridge, Grindal, Nauen, P.L.L.P.
100 Washington Avenue S.
Minneapolis, MN 55401

Barbara L. Lyons
Cotchett PitreSimon & McCarthy
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010

Gilmur R. Murray
Murray & Howard, LLP
436 14th Street
Suite 1413
Oakland, CA 94612

James N. Penrod
Morgan Lewis & Bockius
Spear Tower
1 Market Plaza, 23rd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104

Josh Piovia-Scott
Law Offices of Randy R. Renick
128 North Fair Oaks Avenue
Pasadena, CA 91103

Merrick S. Rayle
Lovell, Stewart, Halebian L.L.P.
500 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10110

Randy R. Renick
Law Offices of Randy Renick
128 North Fair Oaks Avenue
Suite 204
Pasadena, CA 91103

Tracy D. Rezvani
Finkelstein, Thompson & Loughran
1050 30th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20007

Benjamin K. Riley
Howrey LLP
525 Market Street
Suite 3600
San Francisco, CA 94105

Richard Alexander Saveri
Saveri & Saveri Inc.
111 Pine Street
Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94111

Imtiaz Siddiqui
Lovell, Stewart, Halebian L.L.P.
500 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10110

Dennis Stewart
Hulett Harper Stewart LLP
550 West C Street, Ste. 1600
San Diego, CA 92101

Sarah Wetzstein
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, LLP(LA)
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071

Cadio Zirpoli
Saveri & Saveri Inc.
111 Pine Street
Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94111